

LIBRARIES GOT GAME

Aligned Learning through
Modern Board Games



UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN - UNIV LIBS



3032274984

0 5917 3032274984

Brian Mayer & Christopher Harris



Designer Games

There is a rich history of board games in American culture. We seem to share fond memories of childhood games like Chutes and Ladders and an overwhelming drive to create a version of Monopoly for every possible theme. Put all of that aside, however, as none of it helps explain the types of games discussed in this book. Here we will talk about modern board and card games either directly descended from or inspired by a wave of European imports. As will be seen, these modern games bear little resemblance to the traditional American style of games involving rolling dice, moving a pawn, and seeing what happens on the square where you land.

There are many names for modern board games. To describe their style (not their place of origin), they are sometimes referred to as Eurogames or European-style board games. This acknowledges the game design renaissance in Germany that led to the recent surge in modern games. These new board games are also called *designer games*. Unlike older games, modern board games usually feature the name of the game designer on the box cover. Just like readers follow authors, gamers will follow game designers who may create games with multiple publishers. Throughout this book, we will use the term *designer games*, which also includes card games that don't use a board. The use of the term also emphasizes the important work of the master game designers who create these excellent resources for school libraries.

WHAT MAKES DESIGNER GAMES DIFFERENT

Think about the rules for the iconic American board games like Chutes and Ladders, Candy Land, Monopoly, Sorry!, or Life. In all of these, the primary mechanic for game play is rolling dice and moving the number of spaces shown on the dice. Based on the square where the player's pawn lands, something happens. This simple mechanic makes for easily learned

4 Reintroducing Board Games

games but does not provide much in the way of intellectual engagement with the game play. Though there are some financial decisions to be made in Monopoly, all of those decision-making opportunities are established by the rolling of dice. Luck trumps every other mechanic in these games. Though many still include an element of luck, designer games are more often built around a series of shared characteristics.

Information-Rich Environment

While risking everything on a roll of the dice can be exhilarating, it does not offer much in the way of long-term engagement and repeat play value. Recognizing this, modern game designers present a range of options spaced along a continuum defined on either end by chance and strategy.

Examples of these two extremes can be seen in traditional games like war and chess. In the first, players randomly compare two cards from dealt decks; there is no opportunity for strategy, as the cards are randomly distributed and randomly drawn for comparison. On the other hand, chess is what is called a perfect information game. Both players have access to the same information about the current state of the game and all potential moves are known at all times. Games of pure chance can grow boring because there is no opportunity for improvement, while improvement in games of pure strategy can require a huge commitment to studying and mastering the actions and reactions found in established styles of play. There are passionate supporters of both extremes, but most casual game players are looking for something in the middle.

There are designer games available to accommodate many different levels of chance and strategy across a wide variety of genres. For example, players can choose between two racing games, Formula D and Bolide, for a game that meets their preferred style. In Formula D, players make strategic decisions as they shift up and down through gears to navigate the track. Movement of each player's car token is determined through the roll of a die for each gear; third gear, for example, is represented by an eight-sided die with the sides showing four, five, six, six, seven, seven, eight, and eight. This means that statistically you are much more likely to move six, seven, or eight spaces than the minimum of four, but the strong element of chance means a roll of four can lead to a drastic loss of position on the track. In comparison, Bolide provides a near-perfect information environment where players use vector movement rules to select each move. Instead of rolling dice, players use a momentum marker to determine their range of possible moves based on their current vector of motion. A car can be pushed as fast as desired, but a player's understanding of physics and Newton's laws will quickly be revealed as cars drift into corners with too much sideways momentum. Chance only enters the game in a few situations such as dice rolls to determine a fast, normal, or slow start or to resolve possible collisions between two cars. It is also important to note

that the use of chance in these situations is a key theme. Tires catch during a start, or steering maneuver to narrowly avoid an obstacle that matches the theme without

For schools and libraries, the concept of strategy probably has the most impact on the use of information. If Formula D had provided an equal chance for each number on the die, there would be no need to process any information about the roll, purely by chance. Compared to many other games, Formula D introduces a higher level of informational analysis to select a gear with a certain level of information processing as a result of speed increases and decreases to maintain momentum. Another way to look at these dice games is as a stand-in for a continuum. Bolide requires an advanced understanding of physics, while Formula D uses the chance roll of a die instead of a strategy. This chance/strategy continuum in many games is part of a continuum that defines the level of information processing required by a game.

Open-Ended Decisions

Another characteristic that is especially true for games with a strong use of strategy is complex, high level of information, many games provide an open-ended play environment. Each turn in a game, they want to take as they develop plans for the island. As with Bolide, Puerto Rico is a game dominated by strategy; the only thing left to do is plant the crops available for development. A regular method of winning found in Bolide is a rich selection of opportunities for strategy. Some players in Puerto Rico will plan for the future while others will focus on building up the number of possible building combinations. Each building type, forces players to build, but players can experiment with the game and with new strategies.

As will be seen later, the open-ended nature of the characteristics that makes these games and libraries. When players are making decisions, process more information and use high level information literacy skills is the foundation

in the way of intellectual engagement
 re some financial decisions to be made
 making opportunities are established
 every other mechanic in these games.
 ent of luck, designer games are more
 characteristics.

nt
 f the dice can be exhilarating, it does
 m engagement and repeat play value.
 ers present a range of options spaced
 end by chance and strategy.
 can be seen in traditional games like
 domly compare two cards from dealt
 ategy, as the cards are randomly dis-
 mparison. On the other hand, chess
 game. Both players have access to
 ent state of the game and all poten-
 nes of pure chance can grow boring
 mprovement, while improvement in
 huge commitment to studying and
 ound in established styles of play.
 th extremes, but most casual game
 e middle.

le to accommodate many different
 ide variety of genres. For example,
 g games, Formula D and Bolide, for
 . In Formula D, players make stra-
 own through gears to navigate the
 ken is determined through the roll
 ample, is represented by an eight-
 five, six, six, seven, eight,
 you are much more likely to move
 iminum of four, but the strong ele-
 lead to a drastic loss of position on
 es a near-perfect information envi-
 ement rules to select each move.
 entum marker to determine their
 urrent vector of motion. A car can
 er's understanding of physics and
 as cars drift into corners with too
 ly enters the game in a few situ-
 fast, normal, or slow start or to
 cars. It is also important to note

that the use of chance in these situations is a natural manifestation of the theme. Tires catch during a start, or a driver may execute a last-minute steering maneuver to narrowly avoid a crash. Rolling dice creates excitement that matches the theme without overwhelming the need for skill.

For schools and libraries, the continuum defined by chance and strategy probably has the most impact on how the game approaches the use of information. If Formula D had players roll simple six-sided dice with an equal chance for each number one through six, then there would be no need to process any information as the outcome would be decided purely by chance. Compared to mainstream American games, Formula D introduces a higher level of information processing by requiring statistical analysis to select a gear with a certain die roll range. Bolide demands a high level of information processing as players must constantly plan ahead for speed increases and decreases to address shifting vectors of momentum. Another way to look at these differences is to consider the role of chance in these games as a stand-in for knowledge. Instead of chance, Bolide requires an advanced understanding of physics, while Formula D uses the chance roll of a die instead of vector calculations. Therefore, the chance/strategy continuum in many cases can also be seen as a complexity continuum that defines the level of background knowledge and information processing required by a game.

Open-Ended Decisions

Another characteristic that is especially prominent in designer games with a strong use of strategy is complex decision making. Along with a high level of information, many games present players with a more open-ended play environment. Each turn in Puerto Rico, players select the role they want to take as they develop plantations and other buildings on their island. As with Bolide, Puerto Rico is a perfect information game dominated by strategy; the only thing left to chance is selecting the types of plantation crops available for development each round. Unlike the singular method of winning found in Bolide, however, Puerto Rico presents a rich selection of opportunities for success. As with real-world markets, some players in Puerto Rico will profit by growing and selling crops, while others will focus on building valuable real estate. The large number of possible building combinations, along with imposed scarcity for each building type, forces players to be flexible. Successful strategies have emerged, but players can experiment with new ways of interacting with the game and with new strategies.

As will be seen later, the open nature of many designer games is one of the characteristics that makes them especially powerful in schools and libraries. When players are making complex decisions, they have to process more information and use higher-order thinking skills. This use of information literacy skills is the foundation upon which game/curriculum

alignments can be developed. Puerto Rico forces players to investigate a constantly shifting game environment, use an inquiry-like process of considering options, and evaluate both their game play and the play of others. Contrast this to the decision making and information processing in a game like Monopoly, where rolling dice and moving a pawn leads to one of the few decisions in the game: to buy the property or not.

End-Game Scoring

Many designer games are created to engage players in a shared community of play that allows for ongoing development. In most cases, these games are not a race to eliminate players so that the last player surviving can be named the winner, as is found in so many traditional American games. Instead, designer games tend to feature end-game scoring based on victory points gained through the completion of goals or gathering of resources. This means that players can be part of the game play throughout the game, as opposed to being forced to withdraw from the experience to sit as spectators on the sidelines. In a school or library setting, those players who were eliminated early present a challenge: Will their being removed from the game create a disruption when they are left with nothing to do?

Ticket to Ride is a train game often used as a gateway game—an accessible game that serves as an introduction to designer games. In this game, players work to build train routes across America that connect cities and meet individual goals. Throughout the game, points are scored on a track around the edge of the board—an increasingly common feature in many designer games—but the real victor may not emerge until the hidden objective cards are revealed at the end of the game. Even if a player feels she might not be in the running to win, she can still have a huge impact on the outcome of the game by completing critical train routes to block other players. Unlike elimination-style games, designer games that use an end-of-game scoring mechanic like this can keep everyone engaged, reducing the potential for disruptions from disengaged (and perhaps even disheartened) participants, and also facilitating moving groups through a series of games as a cohesive unit.

Balancing the Theme

The most complex feature of many designer games is an intricate interplay between mechanics and theme. There are a number of common game mechanics—the process by which game play proceeds—found in designer games. Designers often use theme (the setting, characters, and general concept behind a game that establish a purpose for playing) to create a unique game that extends the mechanics to new levels. Some games achieve a harmonious balance of mechanics and theme, but often there is one attribute that is more dominant. This does not diminish the game in

any way and is actually the source of area standards.

At first glance, Oregon is a game with an expansion theme. Players establish their territory by placing building tiles and peering through automatic links to a social studies curriculum. There is no in-depth consideration of why communities form where they do in the game. Tiles are placed on the board and labeled with symbols along the sides of the board. A pair of Cartesian coordinates where a tile is placed is certainly not the primary selling point from a standpoint, though it does make the game more accessible to schools and libraries.

Even though Oregon may not be the best choice on account of its theme, that theme in itself creates a context for play that is more powerful than the more powerful building tiles in the game. The tile is naturally limited to map space, providing thematic support for rules or play. Moving further into the experience of playing makes games such a powerful tool for learning. Oregon is a game that might have been designed for a school environment that just happens to use a thematic mechanic.

Game Mechanics

Given the complexity of many designer games, it is important to make use of common mechanics; this is especially true for new games. Schools and libraries can use these mechanics for more complex games.

Roll-and-Move. Though certainly a feature of traditional American board games, the use of dice to control movement. The difference between dice give players a higher level of control over what happens as a result of the roll. In a game with six-sided dice, players in Enchanted Forest can roll any combination of the two results (forward or backward, for example).

Open Movement. Some games require players to move movement points or action points that

to Rico forces players to investigate a
ent, use an inquiry-like process of con-
their game play and the play of others.
and information processing in a game
and moving a pawn leads to one of the
ne property or not.

engage players in a shared community
elopment. In most cases, these games
o that the last player surviving can be
o many traditional American games.
are end-game scoring based on victory
on of goals or gathering of resources.
of the game play throughout the game,
aw from the experience to sit as spec-
or library setting, those players who
hallenge: Will their being removed from
they are left with nothing to do?

en used as a gateway game—an acces-
tion to designer games. In this game,
ross America that connect cities and
he game, points are scored on a track
creasingly common feature in many
r may not emerge until the hidden
nd of the game. Even if a player feels
n, she can still have a huge impact on
ng critical train routes to block other
nes, designer games that use an end-
an keep everyone engaged, reducing
engaged (and perhaps even disheart-
ng moving groups through a series of

designer games is an intricate inter-
e. There are a number of common
ich game play proceeds—found in
theme (the setting, characters, and
establish a purpose for playing) to cre-
mechanics to new levels. Some games
hanics and theme, but often there is
This does not diminish the game in

any way and is actually the source of many strong alignments to content area standards.

At first glance, Oregon is a game that offers a very strong westward expansion theme. Players establish communities in Oregon by taking turns placing building tiles and people in groups on the board. The thematic links to a social studies curriculum are, however, tenuous at best. There is no in-depth consideration of why people are moving to Oregon or why communities form where they do. Oregon, it turns out, is a math game. Tiles are placed on the board by collecting sets of cards that match symbols along the sides of the board. By playing two cards, players define a pair of Cartesian coordinates where tiles can be placed. This mechanic is certainly not the primary selling point of the game from the publisher's standpoint, though it does make the game well suited for use in schools and libraries.

Even though Oregon may not be selected for use in a school library on account of its theme, that theme is still a critical part of the game. The theme creates a context for play that helps explain the rules. One of the more powerful building tiles in the game is the train station; playing this tile is naturally limited to map spaces that have train tracks. By providing thematic support for rules or play mechanics, designers invite players further into the experience of playing the game. This immersion is what makes games such a powerful tool for learning. Unlike a so-called educational game that might have been designed to teach Cartesian coordinates, Oregon was designed for strong play value within a richly themed environment that just happens to use Cartesian coordinate mapping as a mechanic.

Game Mechanics

Given the complexity of many designer games, it helps that they often make use of common mechanics; this makes it easier for players to learn new games. Schools and libraries can use this as a scaffold to prepare students for more complex games.

Roll-and-Move. Though certainly not as common a mechanic as in traditional American board games, there are still designer games that use dice to control movement. The difference is that the designer games often give players a higher level of control over either the dice being rolled or what happens as a result of the roll. Based on the results on two regular six-sided dice, players in *Enchanted Forest* can move in any direction in any combination of the two results (four forward, complete an action, five backward, for example).

Open Movement. Some games remove the dice completely in favor of movement points or action points that may be used to move or complete

other actions. This gives the player a much higher level of control over his character in the game. This point is illustrated by comparing two different dungeon-crawling role-playing games: The traditional American game *Talisman* has players roll a die, trying to get the one number needed to land on the spot that allows them to move forward in the game. Such a high level of chance introduces a great deal of frustration as players bounce back and forth around the one spot they need to hit. In contrast, *Prophecy* is a designer game that uses open movement to give players control over the game. Players can move one space in either direction for free, pay coins for a horse to move two spaces, pay a few more coins to take a ship from one port to another, or even use coins to travel through portals.

Worker Placement. Another common mechanic found in designer games is worker placement. For example, in *Stone Age*, a worker placement game that uses a strong element of chance to re-create the struggles of an early tribe to gather resources and thrive, players are not moving around a board. Instead, players are placing pawns into certain areas on the board to receive benefits during a resolution phase, thus the concept of worker placement. In this case, small wooden figures representing the members of a Stone Age tribe can be assigned to various gathering tasks that can result in food or other resources.

This style of game tends to focus on resource management. Not only must players plan ahead to receive maximum benefit from their limited supply of workers, but in most cases those workers are also producing goods that will be applied in various combinations for victory points. *Stone Age's* workers gather resources that can be turned in for special victory cards. At the same time, however, some workers will always need to be tasked with gathering the food required to sustain the tribe each turn. In addition to resource management, worker placement games also tend to feature higher requirements for time management. There are many more things in *Stone Age* that you will want (or even need!) to do than can be done in either a turn or the whole game. The placement of every worker ends up being more precious than expected.

Simultaneous Action. *RoboRally* is a chaotically fun game that teaches rudimentary programming as up to seven players simultaneously move their robots around the board. Games that use this mechanic are great for large groups, as it minimizes the downtime that comes with a player waiting for her next turn. In order to work, this mechanic also often requires a higher level of conversation between players. Not all games with this mechanic go to the extreme of *RoboRally*. Many designer games use some aspect of this by involving players in actions, reactions, and decisions during other players' turns.

Role Selection. One way that some action is through the selection and resolution of a game based on Puerto Rico, has players produce, or sell goods. Each round, every player chooses from the available roles. All the players who selected the role gets a bonus ability. The simultaneous action but provides a simplicity of *San Juan* also makes it a good choice for students for the much more complex *Prophecy*.

Games that use role selection can be a great style. Despite the openness, or perhaps because of this mechanic there will often be a maximum number of actions each player in a round to take. Just as in high-level chess, there can be a game of cat and mouse and opportunities for victory while mixing players of different skill levels. A natural source of problems. More experienced players as new players make less-than-optimal choices.

Cooperative Play. One way to accommodate different skill levels is to create a more casual, using games that feature cooperative play. When players are working together as a team against a common enemy, the range from very simple games for the young to more complex provide a strong challenge for the more experienced. It demands conversation, so don't plan on playing in a space. On the other hand, the forced conversation is a great resource for speech therapy. Depending on the desired outcome of the game, note that there are two main subgroups: cooperatives and traitor-based games.

As a true cooperative game for preschool-age children learn to work together. Players take turns rolling two dice. For each green dot rolled, that player's creature in the game closer to safety; for each red dot, the creature creeps closer to the small creatures. The player whose animal is the best to move, as they are the most vulnerable of the animals. Sometimes, when the player whose animal is in the house will need to not roll and instead stay in the house for a treat. This can be a natural consequence of the game in that they are giving up their turn.

To see the real power of cooperative play, try a competitive game where three players work together to defeat a common enemy.

er a much higher level of control over
nt is illustrated by comparing two dif-
ing games: The traditional American
e, trying to get the one number needed
m to move forward in the game. Such
a great deal of frustration as players
one spot they need to hit. In contrast,
uses open movement to give players
move one space in either direction for
two spaces, pay a few more coins to
er, or even use coins to travel through

Common mechanic found in designer
ample, in *Stone Age*, a worker place-
nt of chance to re-create the struggles
and thrive, players are not moving
placing pawns into certain areas on
a resolution phase, thus the concept
small wooden figures representing the
e assigned to various gathering tasks
rces.

s on resource management. Not only
maximum benefit from their limited
es those workers are also producing
us combinations for victory points.
that can be turned in for special vic-
er, some workers will always need to
quired to sustain the tribe each turn.
worker placement games also tend to
management. There are many more
nt (or even need!) to do than can be
me. The placement of every worker
pected.

s a chaotically fun game that teaches
seven players simultaneously move
that use this mechanic are great for
ntime that comes with a player wait-
k, this mechanic also often requires
en players. Not all games with this
illy. Many designer games use some
n actions, reactions, and decisions

Role Selection. One way that some games implement simultaneous action is through the selection and resolution of roles. *San Juan*, a card game based on Puerto Rico, has players select roles in order to build, produce, or sell goods. Each round, every player has a chance to select a role from the available roles. All the players get to use that role, but the player who selected the role gets a bonus ability. This keeps everyone involved in simultaneous action but provides a more structured environment. The simplicity of *San Juan* also makes it a great scaffolding game to prepare students for the much more complex *Puerto Rico*.

Games that use role selection can be more open-ended in their play style. Despite the openness, or perhaps because of it, in many games using this mechanic there will often be a mathematically best choice of role for each player in a round to take. Just as in the almost scripted play of very high-level chess, there can be a game choice that will maximize profit and opportunities for victory while minimizing benefits for other players. Mixing players of different skill levels for role selection games is a potential source of problems. More experienced players can become frustrated as new players make less-than-optimal choices of role.

Cooperative Play. One way to accommodate players with different skill levels is to create a more casual, less competitive play environment using games that feature cooperative play. In this style of game, players are working together as a team against the game itself. Cooperative games range from very simple games for three-year-olds up to games that will provide a strong challenge for the most veteran players. This mechanic demands conversation, so don't plan to use cooperative games in a quiet space. On the other hand, the forced communication makes these games a great resource for speech therapy or English-language-learning classes. Depending on the desired outcome or group dynamics, it is important to note that there are two main subgroups of cooperative games: true cooperatives and traitor-based games.

As a true cooperative game for very young children, *Max* helps preschool-age children learn to work together toward a common goal. Players take turns rolling two dice with green and black dots on them. For each green dot rolled, that player moves one of the woodland creatures in the game closer to safety; for each black dot rolled, *Max* the cat creeps closer to the small creatures. The group needs to talk about which animal is the best to move, as they are all working together to control all of the animals. Sometimes, when the creatures are in immediate danger, players will need to not roll and instead use their turn to call *Max* back to the house for a treat. This can be a hard sacrifice for a very young child to make in that they are giving up their turn for the good of all.

To see the real power of cooperative games, try playing *Max* as a competitive game where three players are each controlling one of the

creatures. The conversation around the board immediately changes and a much darker tone sets in. Players are unwilling to sacrifice a turn to call Max back to save a competitor and so animals that lag behind due to bad dice rolls can quickly be eliminated.

Traitor-based cooperative games introduce some elements of competition while also maintaining the group play aspect of the cooperative mechanic. In some games, there is a chance that one or more players might be a traitor, while other games are designed so that at least one player in the group will definitely be a traitor. *Battlestar Galactica* ensures that someone will be a traitor, but that person may not find out that he is the traitor until halfway through the game. The inclusion of a traitor makes this already complex and engaging cooperative game even more compelling. The tension of working within a team knowing that someone is (or at least will be) a traitor can be quite appealing. It must be understood, however, that the traitor mechanic can reduce otherwise cooperative games into paranoid grabs for power as players struggle to trust anyone besides themselves. As this is a temporary game environment, the potential for interpersonal conflict is not necessarily something that removes a game from consideration. Simply be aware that not all cooperative games are as focused on team building; games with traitors can include a period of suspicion until the traitors are identified and the team can begin working toward a common goal.

GAMES FOR ALL

Designer games provide rich and engaging play experiences that easily match the level of complexity found in video games. With a variety of mechanics going well beyond the traditional roll-and-move, designer games force players to explore, inquire, interpret, and act upon information gathered from many sources. Perhaps more important for schools and libraries, these games provide a more social environment where players are interacting or collaborating as they play. As will be seen later in this book, many of the games can be aligned with library and information literacy skills and state content standards. Through a combination of game mechanics that reinforce skills and themes that provide context for learning about content, designer games are a valuable resource libraries can provide to support instruction. The introduction of games into schools, however, demands a higher burden of proof to overcome the misconception that playing and learning are separate and distinct states of being.

Why Game

Whether the topic is animal training simulations, management simulations, or anything around the idea of fun. So important to embrace play as a part of learning, it often seems, are born with an innate understanding of the word around them, and yet the traditional clear separations between instruction and play in a puritanical context is hard work and the idea of something as trivial as play. Games are not just required work, never part of the actual learning landscape, where teachers and students are testing, how can a school be expected to embrace play?

The answer is not to make extra time for the inherent learning potential of game play as an additional program. In much the same way that we explore the English language or to provide a new idea; there have been many attempts to design games to enhance instruction. Unfortunately, they were not crafted by designers with experience, and so many fail to capture the rich variety of game mechanics to provide a new opportunity to explore new ideas through play. Games already provide a strong foundation for learning, already adept at finding connections between resources in other formats, is the ideal platform for learning using curriculum-aligned design.

Advocates for play are often discouraged by those who see play as a distraction from instruction. As David Elkind noted in *The Power of Play*,